



# Emerging Markets Monthly

## EM's Inflection

May 17, 2018

### Authors

Drausio Giacomelli  
+1(212)2507355  
drausio.giacomelli@db.com

Hongtao Jiang  
+1(212)250-2524  
hongtao.jiang@db.com

Sameer Goel  
+656423 6973  
sameer.goel@db.com

Juliana Lee  
(852)2203-8312  
juliana.lee@db.com

[www.dbresearch.com](http://www.dbresearch.com)

Deutsche Bank Research Management  
Stefan Schneider



Emerging Markets and the Global Economy in the Month Ahead: The source of the recent correction is benign: a repricing of US growth with the EU still poised to grow above potential. With few exceptions (such as Turkey and Argentina) EM inflation remains mostly near or below targets so that forex (FX) weakness is unlikely to trigger meaningful CB responses that could disrupt EM growth – which has yet to catch up with DM. However, USD strength poses a more binding and direct risk of tighter credit conditions for EM than US yields. Still, we would need to see EUR/USD closer to 1.05 for credit conditions to bind.

Fundamentals, valuation and positioning suggest that we are in the tail end of this correction. But we need to see USD stabilization for EM to retrace. EM FX also needs normalcy in credit and local markets for the unwinding of hedges. This and valuation could amplify the retracement when (and if) the USD turns. This is likely to be stronger where underperformance and fundamentals stand out.

We see the selloff in credit as overdone, but the predicament is that it will likely continue until the USD turns. While retaining a strategically constructive view based on fundamentals, we are compelled to move to be more defensive in our portfolio – by adding weight to names with lower USD sensitivity.

[Brazil: Is This Time Different?](#)



With policy rates reaching a trough, we look into the magnitude and path of normalization. We thus reassess Brazil's  $r^*$  and dynamics of the policy rate around neutral. Based on several models, we find the (unobservable)  $r^*$  to be in the 3-4.5% range, which is 50-200bp above the expected real Selic over the next year. Meanwhile, the market is pricing real Selic about 200-350bp higher than  $r^*$  by end-2020.

Judging by inflation, output gaps and current policy stance, we expect an atypically smooth normalization and a terminal rate around 200-300bp below the priced Selic rate by end-2020. A likely pause or the end of the easing cycle could trigger flattening, but this would be technical in nature. Our analysis shows the curve to be too flat both on a forward basis and vs. fundamentals (with value concentrated in 1-3Y sector).

#### Turkey: Three macro misconceptions and policy choices

Market participants witnessed a concurrent rise in growth, inflation, and the current account deficit during 2017, and simply assumed this was an overheating story having led to runaway inflation and unwelcome widening in the external gap. While such a relationship has held several times before; in this note we discuss the fact that this has not been the case this time.

Market view #1: the current account deficit widened because of high growth: No – the main drivers were gold imports and higher global energy prices. More important, growth peaked in H2 2017, and a downward adjustment is already underway.

Market view #2: headline CPI was high because of high growth: Consistently high FX pass-through due to the consecutive advent of external and domestic shocks led to runaway inflation. Demand-pull factors exerted some non-linear upside pressure only from late Q3 2017 onward and at moderate levels.

Market view #3: a wider current account deficit is a key source of macro vulnerability this year: risks on the external front do not lie with the size of the external gap (flow) this year. They emanate from more pressing (stock) issues, such as the large amount of net foreign liabilities keeping external financing requirements at elevated levels against rising volatility in global markets, and due to policy choices, which seem disharmonious with Turkey's macro realities.

#### Fiscal ranking of India's key states

Stepping into FY19, we review the fiscal performance of India's 16 key states for the previous year and analyze the budget estimates provided by these states for the current fiscal year. We also provide a ranking of India's key states, based on their relative fiscal performance. We use three fiscal parameters: fiscal deficit, own tax revenue, and state debt, all as a percentage of gross state domestic product, to construct a composite fiscal ranking of the states.

For important disclosure information please see: <https://research.db.com/Research/Disclosures/Disclaimer>



## Emerging Markets Monthly

---

© Copyright 2018. Deutsche Bank AG, Deutsche Bank Research, 60262 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. All rights reserved. When quoting please cite “Deutsche Bank Research”.

The above information does not constitute the provision of investment, legal or tax advice. Any views expressed reflect the current views of the author, which do not necessarily correspond to the opinions of Deutsche Bank AG or its affiliates. Opinions expressed may change without notice. Opinions expressed may differ from views set out in other documents, including research, published by Deutsche Bank. The above information is provided for informational purposes only and without any obligation, whether contractual or otherwise. No warranty or representation is made as to the correctness, completeness and accuracy of the information given or the assessments made. In Germany this information is approved and/or communicated by Deutsche Bank AG Frankfurt, licensed to carry on banking business and to provide financial services under the supervision of the European Central Bank (ECB) and the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch, a member of the London Stock Exchange, authorized by UK’s Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) and subject to limited regulation by the UK’s Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) (under number 150018) and by the PRA. This information is distributed in Hong Kong by Deutsche Bank AG, Hong Kong Branch, in Korea by Deutsche Securities Korea Co. and in Singapore by Deutsche Bank AG, Singapore Branch. In Japan this information is approved and/or distributed by Deutsche Securities Inc. In Australia, retail clients should obtain a copy of a Product Disclosure Statement (PDS) relating to any financial product referred to in this report and consider the PDS before making any decision about whether to acquire the product.